

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	09/755,002		KAAN ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Kristie D. Shingles		2141	

All Participants:

(1) Kristie D. Shingles, Examiner.

(2) Aly Dossa, Reg. No. I.0031.

Date of Interview: 19 December 2007

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

1, 2, 12 and 13

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: ALLOWED

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 4:00pm

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Upon Primary's approval, Examiner contacted Atty to discuss an Examiner's Amendment that would place the claims in condition for allowance. The Examiner's Amendment involved incorporating claim language from dependent claims 2 and 13 into independent claims 1 and 12, respectively, for clarifying that the host in communication with the router knows the router identity. Atty authorized the Examiner's Amendment accordingly..